



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/708,198	02/16/2004	Chiao-Ju Lin	10767-US-PA	2197	
31561 JIANO CHYU	7590 01/09/2007 N INTELLECTUAL PRO	PERTY OFFICE	EXAM	IINER	
7 FLOOR-1, NO. 100		PIZIALI, JEFFREY J			
ROOSEVELT ROAD, SECTION 2 TAIPEI, 100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TAIWAN			. 2629		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
31 D	DAYS	01/09/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	10/708,198	LIN, CHIAO-JU	LIN, CHIAO-JU			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jeff Piziali	2629				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet i	with the correspondence ac	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO , cause the application to become	IICATION. The reply be timely filed  ONTHS from the mailing date of this of the ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Oc	ctober 2006.					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This						
<u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims		•	•			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 6-14</u> is/are pending in the applic	cation					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	m mom oomolaaranan.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1 and 6-14 are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requireme	nt				
	na/or election requireme	illt.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 16 February 2004 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form P7	ΓΟ-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in	Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior						
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		_			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies no	t received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	· ———	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	·	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)  Other:	• •				

Art Unit: 2629

# **DETAILED ACTION**

# **Priority**

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

#### Election/Restrictions

- 2. Applicant's election of Species II (i.e., claims 1 and 6-14) in the reply filed on 19

  October 2006 is acknowledged and greatly appreciated. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- 3. Claims 2-5 are withdrawn from further consideration (and officially canceled by the applicant in the reply filed on 19 October 2006) pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 19 October 2006.
- 4. Although the applicant is thanked for the species election (filed on 19 October 2006), this application currently contains elected claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

**Species I**, drawn to a driving circuit of a current-driven active matrix organic light emitting diode, wherein the first switch 610, the second switch 620, the third

Art Unit: 2629

switch 630 and the pre-charge switch 670 each comprise a P-type thin film transistor (see Figs. 6-7; and Paragraph 37 of the instant specification, for instance), and

Species II, drawn to a driving circuit of a current-driven active matrix organic light emitting diode, wherein the first switch 610, the second switch 620, the third switch 630 and the pre-charge switch 670 each comprise an N-type thin film transistor (see Figs. 6-7; and Paragraph 37 of the instant specification, for instance).

The species are independent or distinct because the species do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; the species are not obvious variants; and the species each have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, and effect.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1, 6, and 9-14 appear to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an

Art Unit: 2629

allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

5. A telephone call was made to Belinda Lee (Registration Number 46,863) on 4 January 2007 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 2629

. . . · ·

# Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeff Piziali whose telephone number is (571) 272-7678. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (6:30AM - 3PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bipin Shalwala can be reached on (571) 272-7681. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jeff Piziali

4 January 2007